

1 Mark E. McKane, P.C. (SBN 230552)  
Michael P. Esser (SBN 268634)  
2 KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP  
555 California Street  
3 San Francisco, CA 94104  
T: (415) 439-1400 / F: (415) 439-1500  
4 mark.mckane@kirkland.com  
michael.esser@kirkland.com

5  
6 David R. Seligman, P.C. (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP  
300 North LaSalle  
7 Chicago, IL 60654  
T: (312) 862-2000 / F: (312) 862-2200  
8 dseligman@kirkland.com

9 Aparna Yenamandra (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP  
10 601 Lexington Avenue  
New York, NY 10022  
11 T: (212) 446-4800 / F: (212) 446-4900  
aparna.yenamandra@kirkland.com

12 *Counsel for Calpine Corporation, et al.*  
13

14  
15 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
16 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**  
17 **SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

18 In re:  
19 PG&E Corporation, Pacific Gas & Electric  
Company,  
20 Debtors.

- 21 ☐ Affects PG&E Corporation  
22 ☐ Affects Pacific Gas and Electric Company  
23 ☒ Affects both Debtors

24 \* All papers shall be filed in the Lead Case,  
25 No. 19-30088 (DM)  
26  
27  
28

Chapter 11

Case No. 19-30088 (DM)

(Lead Case)  
(Jointly Administered)

**CALPINE AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES  
PROPOSED MODIFICATIONS TO PLAN  
/ CONFIRMATION ORDER**

1 Calpine Corporation, on behalf of itself and its subsidiaries, including without limitation, Russell  
2 City Energy Company, LLC, Los Esteros Critical Energy Facility, LLC, Geysers Power Company, LLC,  
3 Gilroy Energy Center, LLC, Creed Energy Center, LLC, and Goose Haven Energy Center, LLC  
4 (collectively, “Calpine”), by and through counsel, hereby files this statement with regard to the *Debtors’*  
5 *and Shareholder Proponents’ Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Reorganization Dated March 16, 2020*  
6 (the “Plan”) and related proposed order confirming the Plan (the “Confirmation Order”).<sup>1</sup>

7 1. In accordance with the Court’s direction at the Confirmation Hearing held on June 8, 2020,  
8 Calpine suggests the following changes to the Plan and Confirmation Order:<sup>2</sup>

9 2. **Delete section 8.2(e) of the Plan and paragraph 34(d) of the Confirmation Order.** The  
10 parties’ rights are preserved by the Bankruptcy Code, which provides that assumption cannot occur until  
11 a default has been cured or adequate assurance of a timely cure has been given, and paragraph 33 of the  
12 Confirmation Order, which provides that: “Any counterparty to an executory contract or unexpired lease  
13 that failed to object timely to the proposed assumption, assumption and assignment, or Cure Amount, is  
14 hereby deemed to have assented to such assumption, assumption and assignment, or Cure Amount.” The  
15 Debtors’ language overreaches the protections afforded by the Bankruptcy Code, and is inconsistent with  
16 their represented resolution that: “A determination as to how the issues apply to a particular dispute should  
17 be deferred for when, if ever, a dispute might arise. . . . Deferring determination in favor of such context  
18 is better than issuing a blanket ruling in a vacuum on the impact of 502(e) or other matters affecting  
19 potential claims that exist now only in the abstract.” (*See* Docket No. 7831-1, Debtors’ Demonstrative).

20 3. **Delete paragraph 37 of the Confirmation Order.** This provision is also inconsistent  
21 with the Debtors’ proposed resolution: “We will delete from the Plan Supplement the language that these  
22 contractual indemnity rights are discharged on assumption.” (*See id.*).

23 4. **Add to the Confirmation Order:** “Notwithstanding anything to the contrary in the Plan,  
24 Plan Supplement, or Confirmation Order, entry of this Order shall not operate to Allow or Disallow any  
25

---

26 <sup>1</sup> Calpine filed a limited objection at Docket No. 7214 to the Debtors’ Plan with respect to the Plan’s treatment of  
executory contracts.

27 <sup>2</sup> Calpine notes the statement of the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors filed at Docket No. 7814. Calpine agrees  
28 with those changes.

1 filed proofs of Claim and instead all such proofs of Claim shall be adjudicated pursuant to the claims  
2 resolution process set forth in the Plan.”<sup>3</sup>

3 DATED: June 8, 2020

KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP

5 By: /s/ Michael P. Esser

6 Mark E. McKane, P.C. (SBN 230552)

Michael P. Esser (SBN 268634)

KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP

555 California Street

San Francisco, CA 94104

T: (415) 439-1400 / F: (415) 439-1500

mark.mckane@kirkland.com

michael.esser@kirkland.com

10 David R. Seligman, P.C. (admitted *pro hac vice*)

KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP

300 North LaSalle

Chicago, IL 60654

T: (312) 862-2000 / F: (312) 862-2200

dseligman@kirkland.com

14 Aparna Yenamandra (admitted *pro hac vice*)

KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP

601 Lexington Avenue

New York, NY 10022

T: (212) 446-4800 / F: (212) 446-4900

aparna.yenamandra@kirkland.com

17 *Counsel for Calpine Corporation, et al.*

28 <sup>3</sup> This language is as proposed by the OCC in their statement.